
Monitoring Plan Review

Matthew Boze

US EPA, Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD)

October, 2001



Focus

- ◆ What is a monitoring plan
- ◆ Contents of a monitoring plan
- ◆ Monitoring plan review responsibilities
- ◆ Reviewing monitoring plans
(Monitoring Plan Check List)

What is a monitoring plan?

- ◆ The monitoring plan provides:
 - Basic Unit Information - Monitor Locations
 - Formula Identification - Monitoring Spans
 - Monitoring System Identification
- ◆ The monitoring plan is the document that identifies the overall monitoring strategy for a unit.
- ◆ This information is used to guide the agencies review of the data, and quality assurance tests.

Parts of the monitoring plan

- ◆ Electronic portion

- The content of the electronic portion of the monitoring plan is given in §75.53(e)(1)
- Report the applicable 100, 500, and 900 level records in EDR v2.1 format

- ◆ Hardcopy portion

- The content of the hardcopy portion of the monitoring plan is given in §75.53(e)(2)

What type of information is included in the electronic portion of the monitoring plan?

- ◆ What programs a unit(s) is subject
- ◆ Monitoring methodology
- ◆ A list of components for each measurement system (model and serial #)
- ◆ The formulas used for data calculations

Information in the Electronic Monitoring Plan (continued)

- ◆ The span and range of each monitoring system
- ◆ Maximum, minimum, and default values
- ◆ Unit and Stack operating load and normal operation data
- ◆ Fuel flow data

Information in the Electronic Monitoring Plan (continued)

- ◆ Reasons for monitor downtime
- ◆ Monitoring System maintenance events
- ◆ Appendix E correlation curve data
- ◆ Control equipment

Information in the Electronic Monitoring Plan (continued)

- ◆ Unit fuel type information
- ◆ Fuel usage data for peaking status qualification
- ◆ Subpart H reporting schedule
(quarterly, or ozone season only)

What type of information is included in the hardcopy portion of the monitoring plan?

- ◆ Identification of the test strategy
 - RATA test protocol
 - Calibration gas levels for the linearity's and daily calibrations
- ◆ Calculations for determining
 - maximum potential concentration (MPC),
 - maximum expected concentration (MEC),
 - maximum potential flow rate (MPF),
 - maximum potential NO_x emission rate (MER), and
 - span

Information in the Hardcopy Monitoring Plan (continued)

- ◆ Description of site locations for each monitoring component of the CEMS, including schematics to demonstrate that the monitoring locations meet the appropriate siting criteria.
- ◆ Data flow diagram showing the data handling from analyzer output to the final report

Information in the Hardcopy Monitoring Plan (continued)

- ◆ A schematic of the unit and stack configuration and the relative location of the monitoring system components, as well as:
 - fans, turning vanes, and air pre-heaters
 - reference method sampling ports
 - all other equipment that may affect the monitoring system location, performance, or the ability to perform quality control checks.

Monitoring Plan Submittal

◆ Electronic Portions

- Send Electronic Monitoring Plan in EDR v.2.1 format to CAMD
 - » Submission should include the appropriate 100, 500, and 900 level Record Types
 - » Submit electronically via e-mail:
MP-Reg#@epa.gov
 - ◆ MP-Reg1@epa.gov
 - ◆ MP-Reg2@epa.gov
 - ◆ And so on . . .
- Copy Region and Permitting Authority with Hardcopy Submittal

◆ Hardcopy Portions

- Submit to Region and Permitting Authority

Monitoring Plan Review Responsibilities

◆ CAMD

- Review the electronic monitoring plan using MDC
- Feedback will be sent to the Source, State Permitting Authority, and the Region

◆ State and/or Region

- Review the hardcopy information supplemented by the CAMD feedback of the electronic portion
- Communicate and resolve any deficiencies with the sources

Monitoring Plan Updates

- ◆ The monitoring plan is a “living document” that reflects changes over time in the monitoring systems
- ◆ Each quarterly Electronic Data Report (EDR) should include the most recent version of the electronic monitoring plan
- ◆ The hardcopy and electronic changes are submitted to the State and EPA Region offices.
- ◆ CAMD will only receive the electronic copy

Monitoring Plan Review

- ◆ AAR Certification Statement
- ◆ Schematic Diagrams
- ◆ Flow Monitor Location
- ◆ Data Flow Diagram
- ◆ Span Calculations
- ◆ Electronic Portion Review
- ◆ Calibration Gas Levels
- ◆ Test Protocol

AAR Signature and Certification

- ◆ Was the submission signed by the AAR?
- ◆ Was there a certification statement included?

Schematic Diagrams

- ◆ Are all emissions from affected units monitored?
- ◆ Are all required CEMS's represented for each unit or common stack?
- ◆ Are all control systems represented?

Schematic Diagrams

- ◆ Are upstream and downstream disturbances shown around sampling locations?
- ◆ Are all oil and gas fuel supply lines and fuel flowmeters shown (Appendix D)?

Flow Monitor Location

- ◆ Are the inside cross-sectional areas at the flow monitor location included?
- ◆ Are the inside cross-sectional areas at the stack exit included?
- ◆ Is the flow monitor installed in an appropriate location?
(Part 75, Appendix A § 1.2)

Alternative Flow Monitor Location By Petition

- ◆ Has a petition been approved by CAMD for an alternative monitoring site?
- ◆ Is the flow monitor installed in an appropriate alternative location per the petition response?

Data Flow Diagram

- ◆ Does the data flow diagram adequately illustrate the information path from the CEMS analyzer to the electronic data report?

Span Calculations

- ◆ Was the MPC and Span for the NO_x concentration monitor determined appropriately?
(part 75, app. A, sec. 2.1 & 2.1.2)
- ◆ If there is a NO_x control device, was a MEC calculated?
- ◆ Is a dual-range monitor required for this unit?

Span Calculations (continued)

- ◆ Was the MPC and Span for the Diluent monitor determined appropriately?
(part 75, app. A, sec. 2.1.3)
- ◆ Was the MPV and Span for the flow monitor determined appropriately?
(part 75, app. A, sec. 2.1.4)

Manual Review of Electronic Monitoring Plan Portion

- ◆ Are the formulas (RT 520) consistent with the monitoring methodology?
 - Correct application of equations?
 - Are the equations entered correctly?
- ◆ Do the formulas account for all the monitored emissions?
- ◆ Do the formulas account for all heat input?

Manual Review of Electronic Monitoring Plan Portion (cont)

- ◆ Do the MPC and Spans agree with those calculated in the hardcopy portion?
- ◆ Does the calculated MER agree with the hardcopy portion?
- ◆ Were errors identified by the MDC software?
- ◆ Were the defaults entered in the RT531 determined in accordance with part 75 and supported in the hardcopy portion?

Calibration Gas Levels

- ◆ Are the calibration gas concentrations identified the correct percent of span for:
 - Daily Calibration Checks
 - Low, Mid, and High Level Linearity Checks

Test Protocol

- ◆ Is the notice acceptable?
- ◆ Are the test methods identified those required by §75.22?
- ◆ Are all required certification tests identified in the test protocol?